

Spring 2021 Student Evaluation of Instruction
College of Education and Human Sciences
Traditional Instructional Method

<i>Evaluation Questions - Course Items:</i>	Mean	Standard Deviation	# of Classes Evaluated
The syllabus clearly defined student-learning outcomes for the course.	4.60	0.62	106
The content of the course was relevant to the course objectives/learning outcomes of the course.	4.59	0.74	106
The assignments and other class activities were of instructional value.	4.49	0.83	106
My understanding of the subject increased because of this course.	4.47	0.87	106
<i>Evaluation Questions - Instructor Items:</i>	Mean	Standard Deviation	# of Classes Evaluated
The instructor's presentations were well organized.	4.49	0.81	106
The instructor adequately explained confusing/complex material.	4.43	0.95	106
The instructor treated students fairly.	4.60	0.70	106
The instructor's grading criteria were clearly defined.	4.52	0.79	106
The instructor returned graded work and provided feedback on assignments in a timely manner.	4.39	1.01	106
The instructor consistently began and ended the class on time.	4.57	0.76	106
The instructor was available outside of class as stated in the syllabus.	4.57	0.74	106
I would recommend this instructor to others.	4.48	0.94	106

Spring 2021 Student Evaluation of Instruction
College of Education and Human Sciences
Online Instructional Method

<i>Evaluation Questions - Course Items:</i>	Mean	Standard Deviation	# of Classes Evaluated
The syllabus clearly defined student-learning outcomes for the online course.	4.51	0.79	126
The content of the online course was relevant to the course objectives/learning outcomes of the online course.	4.54	0.73	126
The assignments and other class activities were of instructional value.	4.47	0.84	126
Learning activities in this online course fostered student-instructor communication and/or collaboration, student-student interaction/collaboration, and student content interaction.	4.45	0.87	126
Content is sequenced and structured in a manner that enables students to achieve the stated goals.	4.42	0.89	126
My understanding of the subject increased because of this online course.	4.39	0.93	126
<i>Evaluation Questions - Instructor Items:</i>	Mean	Standard Deviation	# of Classes Evaluated
The instructor's materials were well organized.	4.38	0.87	126
The instructor adequately explained confusing/complex material.	4.28	1.00	126
The instructor treated students fairly.	4.51	0.85	126
The instructor's grading criteria were clearly defined.	4.48	0.79	126
The instructor returned graded work and provided feedback on assignments in a timely manner.	4.27	1.06	126
The instructor responded to my email inquiries within two business days, with sensitivity to assignment deadlines.	4.42	0.97	126
Substantial feedback on assignments was provided via explicit rubrics, grading explanation, and/or detailed commentary for each graded assignment or test.	4.37	0.94	126
I felt the instructor was engaged with me during this online course.	4.37	0.98	126
The instructor was available outside of class as stated in the syllabus.	4.40	0.95	126
I would recommend this instructor to others.	4.36	1.06	126
Overall, the time I spent on this online course in relation to similar face-to-face courses was about the same.	4.26	1.07	126

Spring 2021 Student Evaluation of Instruction
College of Education and Human Sciences
Traditional Instructional Method

<i>Evaluation Questions - Textbook Items:</i>	Mean	Standard Deviation	# of Classes Evaluated
The assigned textbook was valuable to me in learning the material for this class.	4.31	0.96	106
The textbook(s) for this course were readily available.	1.95	0.23	106

Spring 2021 Student Evaluation of Instruction
College of Education and Human Sciences
Online Instructional Method

<i>Evaluation Questions - Textbook Items:</i>	Mean	Standard Deviation	# of Classes Evaluated
The assigned textbook was valuable to me in learning the material for this online class.	4.39	0.85	126
The textbook(s) for this online course were readily available.	1.95	0.21	126