

Office of Institutional Research, Effectiveness, and Planning

Spring 2020 Student Evaluation of Instruction Overall (Including School of Nursing) Traditional Instructional Method

	3.5	Standard	# of Classes
Evaluation Questions - Course Items:	Mean	Deviation	Evaluated
The syllabus clearly defined student-learning outcomes for the			
course.	4.41	0.83	615
The content of the course was relevant to the course			
objectives/learning outcomes of the course.	4.39	0.86	615
The assignments and other class activities were of instructional			
value.	4.28	0.98	615
My understanding of the subject increased because of this course.	4.23	1.04	615
		Standard	# of Classes
Evaluation Questions - Instructor Items:	Mean	Deviation	Evaluated
The instructor's presentations were well organized.	4.23	0.99	615
The instructor adequately explained confusing/complex material.	4.16	1.06	615
The instructor treated students fairly.	4.44	0.86	615
The instructor's grading criteria were clearly defined.	4.30	0.96	615
The instructor returned graded work and provided feedback on			
assignments in a timely manner.	4.22	1.04	615
The instructor consistently began and ended the class on time.	4.39	0.86	615
The instructor was available outside of class as stated in the			
syllabus.	4.39	0.84	615
I would recommend this instructor to others.	4.23	1.09	615



Office of Institutional Research, Effectiveness, and Planning

Spring 2020 Student Evaluation of Instruction Overall (Including School of Nursing) Online Instructional Method

Online Instructional Method					
Evaluation Questions - Course Items:	Mean	Standard Deviation	# of Classes Evaluated		
The syllabus clearly defined student-learning outcomes for the online course.	4.52	0.73	276		
The content of the online course was relevant to the course objectives/learning					
outcomes of the online course.	4.50	0.73	276		
The assignments and other class activities were of instructional value.	4.42	0.85	276		
Learning activities in this online course fostered student-instructor communication and/or collaboration, student-student interaction/collaboration,	4.27	0.01	276		
and student content interaction. Content is sequenced and structured in a manner that enables students to	4.37	0.91	276		
achieve the stated goals.	4.40	0.87	276		
My understanding of the subject increased because of this online course.	4.39	0.89	276		
Evaluation Questions - Instructor Items:	Mean	Standard Deviation	# of Classes Evaluated		
The instructor's materials were well organized.	4.40	0.85	276		
The instructor adequately explained confusing/complex material.	4.30	0.96	276		
The instructor treated students fairly.	4.47	0.79	276		
The instructor's grading criteria were clearly defined.	4.44	0.80	276		
The instructor returned graded work and provided feedback on assignments in a timely manner.	4.37	0.91	276		
The instructor responded to my email inquiries within a 24 – 36 hour period.	4.40	0.90	276		
Substantial feedback on assignments was provided via explicit rubrics, grading explanation, and/or detailed commentary for each graded assignment or test.	4.37	0.89	276		
I felt the instructor was engaged with me during this online course.	4.32	0.97	276		
The instructor was available outside of class as stated in the syllabus.	4.38	0.85	276		
I would recommend this instructor to others.	4.36	0.95	276		
Overall, the time I spent on this online course in relation to similar face-to-face courses was about the same.	4.25	0.98	276		