

Office of Institutional Research, Effectiveness, and Planning

Fall 2020 Student Evaluation of Instruction College of Education and Human Sciences Traditional Instructional Method

		Standard	# of Classes
Evaluation Questions - Course Items:	Mean	Deviation	Evaluated
	1.16	0.05	110
The syllabus clearly defined student-learning outcomes for the course.	4.46	0.85	110
The content of the course was relevant to the course objectives/learning			
outcomes of the course.	4.47	0.82	110
The assignments and other class activities were of instructional value.	4.43	0.87	110
My understanding of the subject increased because of this course.	4.38	0.92	110
		Standard	# of Classes
Evaluation Questions - Instructor Items:	Mean	Deviation	Evaluated
The instructor's presentations were well organized.	4.41	0.85	110
The instructor adequately explained confusing/complex material.	4.33	0.96	110
The instructor treated students fairly.	4.49	0.85	110
The instructor's grading criteria were clearly defined.	4.41	0.87	110
The instructor returned graded work and provided feedback on			
assignments in a timely manner.	4.24	1.12	110
The instructor consistently began and ended the class on time.	4.49	0.82	110
The instructor was available outside of class as stated in the syllabus.	4.41	0.88	110
I would recommend this instructor to others.	4.40	0.94	110



Office of Institutional Research, Effectiveness, and Planning

Fall 2020 Student Evaluation of Instruction College of Education and Human Sciences

Online Instructional Method					
Evaluation Questions - Course Items:	Mean	Standard Deviation	# of Classes Evaluated		
The syllabus clearly defined student-learning outcomes for the online course.	4.62	0.70	128		
The content of the online course was relevant to the course objectives/learning					
outcomes of the online course.	4.61	0.72	128		
The assignments and other class activities were of instructional value.	4.56	0.75	128		
Learning activities in this online course fostered student-instructor communication and/or collaboration, student-student interaction/collaboration, and student content interaction.	4.53	0.80	128		
Content is sequenced and structured in a manner that enables students to achieve the stated goals.	4.56	0.75	128		
My understanding of the subject increased because of this online course.	4.53	0.83	128		
Evaluation Questions - Instructor Items:	Mean	Standard Deviation	# of Classes Evaluated		
The instructor's materials were well organized.	4.51	0.77	128		
The instructor adequately explained confusing/complex material.	4.43	0.91	128		
The instructor treated students fairly.	4.61	0.73	128		
The instructor's grading criteria were clearly defined.	4.56	0.75	128		
The instructor returned graded work and provided feedback on assignments in a timely manner.	4.45	0.92	128		
The instructor responded to my email inquiries within two business days, with sensitivity to assignment deadlines.	4.52	0.83	128		
Substantial feedback on assignments was provided via explicit rubrics, grading explanation, and/or detailed commentary for each graded assignment or test.	4.47	0.90	128		
I felt the instructor was engaged with me during this online course.	4.45	0.92	128		
The instructor was available outside of class as stated in the syllabus.	4.48	0.83	128		
I would recommend this instructor to others.	4.51	0.86	128		
Overall, the time I spent on this online course in relation to similar face-to-face courses was about the same.	4.37	1.00	128		