

Office of Institutional Research, Effectiveness, and Planning

Fall 2020 Student Evaluation of Instruction College of Arts and Sciences Traditional Instructional Method

Evaluation Questions - Course Items:	Mean	Standard Deviation	# of Classes Evaluated
The syllabus clearly defined student-learning outcomes for the course.	4.43	0.82	278
The content of the course was relevant to the course objectives/learning outcomes of the course.	4.41	0.86	278
The assignments and other class activities were of instructional value.	4.28	1.00	278
My understanding of the subject increased because of this course.	4.17	1.09	278
Evaluation Questions - Instructor Items:	Mean	Standard Deviation	# of Classes Evaluated
The instructor's presentations were well organized.	4.27	0.96	278
The instructor adequately explained confusing/complex material.	4.20	1.04	278
The instructor treated students fairly.	4.42	0.93	278
The instructor's grading criteria were clearly defined.	4.31	0.99	278
The instructor returned graded work and provided feedback on assignments in a timely manner.	4.21	1.05	278
The instructor consistently began and ended the class on time.	4.33	0.91	278
The instructor was available outside of class as stated in the syllabus.	4.37	0.87	278
I would recommend this instructor to others.	4.25	1.10	278



Office of Institutional Research, Effectiveness, and Planning

Fall 2020 Student Evaluation of Instruction College of Arts and Sciences Online Instructional Method

Online Instructional Method					
Evaluation Questions - Course Items:	Mean	Standard Deviation	# of Classes Evaluated		
The syllabus clearly defined student-learning outcomes for the online course.	4.42	0.83	169		
The content of the online course was relevant to the course objectives/learning					
outcomes of the online course.	4.42	0.85	169		
The assignments and other class activities were of instructional value.	4.31	0.99	169		
Learning activities in this online course fostered student-instructor communication and/or collaboration, student-student interaction/collaboration, and student content					
interaction.	4.21	1.07	169		
Content is sequenced and structured in a manner that enables students to achieve the stated goals.	4.26	1.01	169		
My understanding of the subject increased because of this online course.	4.11	1.17	169		
		Standard	# of Classes		
Evaluation Questions - Instructor Items:	Mean	Deviation	Evaluated		
The instructor's materials were well organized.	4.35	0.90	169		
The instructor adequately explained confusing/complex material.	4.17	1.08	169		
The instructor treated students fairly.	4.40	0.92	169		
The instructor's grading criteria were clearly defined.	4.34	0.94	169		
The instructor returned graded work and provided feedback on assignments in a timely manner.	4.23	1.05	169		
The instructor responded to my email inquiries within two business days, with sensitivity to assignment deadlines.	4.38	0.90	169		
Substantial feedback on assignments was provided via explicit rubrics, grading explanation, and/or detailed commentary for each graded assignment or test.	4.28	0.99	169		
I felt the instructor was engaged with me during this online course.	4.17	1.11	169		
The instructor was available outside of class as stated in the syllabus.	4.33	0.92	169		
I would recommend this instructor to others.	4.19	1.15	169		
Overall, the time I spent on this online course in relation to similar face-to-face courses was about the same.	3.91	1.30	169		