

Office of Institutional Research, Effectiveness, and Planning

Spring 2020 Student Evaluation of Instruction College of Education and Human Sciences Traditional Instructional Method

Evaluation Questions - Course Items:	Mean	Standard Deviation	# of Classes Evaluated
	Mican	Deviation	Evaluateu
The syllabus clearly defined student-learning outcomes for the			
course.	4.55	0.73	141
The content of the course was relevant to the course			
objectives/learning outcomes of the course.	4.56	0.73	141
The assignments and other class activities were of instructional			
value.	4.46	0.84	141
My understanding of the subject increased because of this course.	4.43	0.93	141
		Standard	# of Classes
Evaluation Questions - Instructor Items:	Mean	Deviation	Evaluated
The instructor's presentations were well organized.	4.37	0.93	141
The instructor adequately explained confusing/complex material.	4.32	1.01	141
The instructor treated students fairly.	4.52	0.79	141
The instructor's grading criteria were clearly defined.	4.43	0.88	141
The instructor returned graded work and provided feedback on			
assignments in a timely manner.	4.31	1.04	141
The instructor consistently began and ended the class on time.	4.52	0.78	141
The instructor was available outside of class as stated in the			
syllabus.	4.50	0.78	141
I would recommend this instructor to others.	4.37	1.03	141



Office of Institutional Research, Effectiveness, and Planning

Spring 2020 Student Evaluation of Instruction College of Education and Human Sciences Online Instructional Method

Online Instructional Method			
Evaluation Questions - Course Items:	Mean	Standard Deviation	# of Classes Evaluated
The syllabus clearly defined student-learning outcomes for the online course.	4.57	0.72	116
The content of the online course was relevant to the course objectives/learning			
outcomes of the online course.	4.60	0.69	116
The assignments and other class activities were of instructional value.	4.54	0.75	116
Learning activities in this online course fostered student-instructor			
communication and/or collaboration, student-student interaction/collaboration,			
and student content interaction.	4.51	0.81	116
Content is sequenced and structured in a manner that enables students to	4.50	0.02	116
achieve the stated goals.	4.50	0.82	116
My understanding of the subject increased because of this online course.	4.51	0.81	116
Evaluation Questions - Instructor Items:	Mean	Standard Deviation	# of Classes Evaluated
The instructor's materials were well organized.	4.48	0.82	116
The instructor adequately explained confusing/complex material.	4.39	0.93	116
The instructor treated students fairly.	4.60	0.69	116
The instructor's grading criteria were clearly defined.	4.56	0.73	116
The instructor returned graded work and provided feedback on assignments in			
a timely manner.	4.45	0.88	116
The instructor responded to my email inquiries within a $24 - 36$ hour period.	4.51	0.85	116
Substantial feedback on assignments was provided via explicit rubrics, grading			
explanation, and/or detailed commentary for each graded assignment or test.	4.49	0.83	116
I felt the instructor was engaged with me during this online course.	4.45	0.89	116
The instructor was available outside of class as stated in the syllabus.	4.51	0.76	116
I would recommend this instructor to others.	4.47	0.89	116
Overall, the time I spent on this online course in relation to similar face-to-face			
courses was about the same.	4.39	0.90	116