| Student Evaluation of Instruction - Fall 2018<br>College of Business and Aviation<br>Traditional Instructional Method |      |                    |                        |  |  |
|---|------|--------------------|------------------------|--|--|
| Evaluation Questions - Course Items:  | Mean | Standard Deviation | # of Classes Evaluated |  |  |
| The syllabus clearly defined student learning outcomes for the course.  | 4.36 | 0.98               | 108                    |  |  |
| The content of the course was relevant to the course objectives/learning outcomes of the course.                      | 4.33 | 1.01               | 108                    |  |  |
| The assignments and other class activities were of instructional value.   | 4.21 | 1.09               | 108                    |  |  |
| My understanding of the subject increased because of this course.   | 4.13 | 1.19               | 108                    |  |  |
| Evaluation Questions - Instructor Items:  | Mean | Standard Deviation | # of Classes Evaluated |  |  |
| The instructor's presentations were well organized.   | 4.17 | 1.13               | 108                    |  |  |
| The instructor adequately explained confusing/complex material.   | 4.08 | 1.20               | 108                    |  |  |
| The instructor treated students fairly.   | 4.34 | 1.00               | 108                    |  |  |
| The instructor's grading criteria were clearly defined.   | 4.28 | 1.06               | 108                    |  |  |
| The instructor returned graded work and provided feedback on assignments in a timely manner.                          | 4.35 | 0.97               | 108                    |  |  |
| The instructor consistently began and ended the class on time.  | 4.43 | 0.84               | 108                    |  |  |
| The instructor was available outside of class as stated in the syllabus.  | 4.37 | 0.88               | 108                    |  |  |
| I would recommend this instructor to others.  | 4.13 | 1.22               | 108                    |  |  |
|   |      |                    |                        |  |  |

\*Created by the Office of Institutional Research & Planning - Delta State University

| Student Evaluation of Instruction - Fall 2018<br>College of Business and Aviation<br>Online Instructional Method   |      |                    |                        |  |  |
|--|------|--------------------|------------------------|--|--|
| Evaluation Questions - Course Items:   | Mean | Standard Deviation | # of Classes Evaluated |  |  |
| The syllabus clearly defined student learning outcomes for the online course.  | 4.48 | 0.82               | 50                     |  |  |
| The content of the online course was relevant to the course objectives/learning outcomes of the online course.   | 4.44 | 0.88               | 50                     |  |  |
| The assignments and other class activities were of instructional value.  | 4.33 | 1.00               | 50                     |  |  |
| Learning activities in this online course fostered student-instructor communication and/or collaboration, student-student interaction/collaboration, and studentcontent interaction. | 4.29 | 1.02               | 50                     |  |  |
| Content is sequenced and structured in a manner that enables students to achieve the stated goals.   | 4.29 | 1.06               | 50                     |  |  |
| My understanding of the subject increased because of this online course.   | 4.21 | 1.14               | 50                     |  |  |
| Evaluation Questions - Instructor Items:   | Mean | Standard Deviation | # of Classes Evaluated |  |  |
| The instructor's materials were well organized.  | 4.33 | 0.96               | 50                     |  |  |
| The instructor adequately explained confusing/complex material.  | 4.18 | 1.10               | 50                     |  |  |
| The instructor treated students fairly.  | 4.37 | 0.88               | 50                     |  |  |
| The instructor's grading criteria were clearly defined.  | 4.28 | 1.02               | 50                     |  |  |
| The instructor returned graded work and provided feedback on assignments in a timely manner.   | 4.31 | 0.98               | 50                     |  |  |
| The instructor responded to my email inquiries within a 24 – 36 hour period.   | 4.34 | 1.00               | 50                     |  |  |
| Substantial feedback on assignments was provided via explicit rubrics, grading explanation, and/or detailed commentary for each graded assignment or test.                           | 4.27 | 1.03               | 50                     |  |  |
| I felt the instructor was engaged with me during this online course.   | 4.23 | 1.07               | 50                     |  |  |
| The instructor was available outside of class as stated in the syllabus.   | 4.25 | 0.95               | 50                     |  |  |
| I would recommend this instructor to others.   | 4.23 | 1.10               | 50                     |  |  |
| Overall, the time I spent on this online course in relation to similar face to face courses was about the same.  | 4.05 | 1.17               | 50                     |  |  |
|  |      |                    |                        |  |  |

\*Created by the Office of Institutional Research & Planning - Delta State University