## DELTA STATE UNIVERSITY

Office of Institutional Research, Effectiveness, and Planning

## Fall 2019 Student Evaluation of Instruction College of Education and Human Sciences *Traditional Instructional Method*

Evaluation Questions - Course Items:	Mean	Standard Deviation	# of Classes Evaluated
The syllabus clearly defined student-learning outcomes for the		2000000	
course.	4.42	0.81	147
The content of the course was relevant to the course			
objectives/learning outcomes of the course.	4.45	0.79	147
The assignments and other class activities were of instructional			
value.	4.39	0.85	147
My understanding of the subject increased because of this course.	4.34	0.93	147
		Standard	# of Classes
Evaluation Questions - Instructor Items:	Mean	Deviation	Evaluated
The instructor's presentations were well organized.	4.29	0.92	147
The instructor adequately explained confusing/complex material.	4.24	1.00	147
The instructor treated students fairly.	4.48	0.80	147
The instructor's grading criteria were clearly defined.	4.35	0.94	147
The instructor returned graded work and provided feedback on			
assignments in a timely manner.	4.23	1.02	147
The instructor consistently began and ended the class on time.	4.44	0.80	147
The instructor was available outside of class as stated in the			
syllabus.	4.42	0.78	147
I would recommend this instructor to others.	4.39	0.93	147

## DELTA STATE UNIVERSITY

Office of Institutional Research, Effectiveness, and Planning

## Fall 2019 Student Evaluation of Instruction College of Education and Human Sciences Online Instructional Method

Online Instructional Method	-	•	
Englustion Quantiona Course Items	Mear	<b>Standard</b>	# of Classes
Evaluation Questions - Course Items:	Mean	Deviation	Evaluated
The syllabus clearly defined student-learning outcomes for the online course.	4.55	0.72	110
The content of the online course was relevant to the course objectives/learning			
outcomes of the online course.	4.57	0.69	110
The assignments and other class activities were of instructional value.	4.47	0.79	110
Learning activities in this online course fostered student-instructor			
communication and/or collaboration, student-student interaction/collaboration,			
and student content interaction.	4.49	0.77	110
Content is sequenced and structured in a manner that enables students to			
achieve the stated goals.	4.48	0.81	110
My understanding of the subject increased because of this online course.	4.47	0.80	110
		Standard	# of Classes
Evaluation Questions - Instructor Items:	Mean	Deviation	Evaluated
The instructor's materials were well organized.	4.44	0.83	110
The instructor adequately explained confusing/complex material.	4.38	0.89	110
The instructor treated students fairly.	4.52	0.74	110
The instructor's grading criteria were clearly defined.	4.51	0.77	110
The instructor returned graded work and provided feedback on assignments in			
a timely manner.	4.40	0.92	110
The instructor responded to my email inquiries within a 24 – 36 hour period.	4.47	0.85	110
Substantial feedback on assignments was provided via explicit rubrics, grading			
explanation, and/or detailed commentary for each graded assignment or test.	4.42	0.90	110
I felt the instructor was engaged with me during this online course.	4.46	0.86	110
The instructor was available outside of class as stated in the syllabus.	4.47	0.79	110
I would recommend this instructor to others.	4.44	0.93	110
Overall, the time I spent on this online course in relation to similar face-to-face			
courses was about the same.	4.40	0.90	110