

Office of Institutional Research, Effectiveness, and Planning

## Fall 2019 Student Evaluation of Instruction College of Business and Aviation Traditional Instructional Method

Traditional Trist actional Me	mou		
Evaluation Questions - Course Items:	Mean	Standard Deviation	# of Classes Evaluated
The syllabus clearly defined student-learning outcomes for the			
course.	4.36	0.93	102
The content of the course was relevant to the course			
objectives/learning outcomes of the course.	4.36	0.95	102
The assignments and other class activities were of instructional			
value.	4.20	1.08	102
My understanding of the subject increased because of this course.	4.14	1.13	102
		Standard	# of Classes
Evaluation Questions - Instructor Items:	Mean	Deviation	Evaluated
The instructor's presentations were well organized.	4.11	1.14	102
The instructor adequately explained confusing/complex material.	4.01	1.24	102
The instructor treated students fairly.	4.41	0.93	102
The instructor's grading criteria were clearly defined.	4.30	1.01	102
The instructor returned graded work and provided feedback on			
assignments in a timely manner.	4.27	1.05	102
The instructor consistently began and ended the class on time.	4.47	0.83	102
The instructor was available outside of class as stated in the			
syllabus.	4.27	0.98	102
I would recommend this instructor to others.	4.13	1.20	102



Office of Institutional Research, Effectiveness, and Planning

Fall 2019 Student Evaluation of Instruction College of Business and Aviation Online Instructional Method						
Evaluation Questions - Course Items:	Mean	Standard Deviation	# of Classes Evaluated			
The syllabus clearly defined student-learning outcomes for the online course.	4.40	0.93	59			
The content of the online course was relevant to the course objectives/learning outcomes of the online course.	4.40	0.90	59			
The assignments and other class activities were of instructional value.	4.34	0.95	59			
Learning activities in this online course fostered student-instructor communication and/or collaboration, student-student interaction/collaboration, and student content interaction.	4.25	1.04	59			
Content is sequenced and structured in a manner that enables students to achieve the stated goals.	4.33	0.99	59			
My understanding of the subject increased because of this online course.	4.27	1.05	59			
Evaluation Questions - Instructor Items:	Mean	Standard Deviation	# of Classes Evaluated			
The instructor's materials were well organized.	4.40	0.91	59			
The instructor adequately explained confusing/complex material.	4.25	1.06	59			
The instructor treated students fairly.	4.42	0.85	59			
The instructor's grading criteria were clearly defined.	4.38	0.93	59			
The instructor returned graded work and provided feedback on assignments in a timely manner.	4.36	0.99	59			
The instructor responded to my email inquiries within a 24 – 36 hour period.	4.32	1.04	59			
Substantial feedback on assignments was provided via explicit rubrics, grading explanation, and/or detailed commentary for each graded assignment or test.	4.26	1.10	59			
I felt the instructor was engaged with me during this online course.	4.25	1.12	59			
The instructor was available outside of class as stated in the syllabus.	4.30	0.98	59			
I would recommend this instructor to others.	4.29	1.04	59			
Overall, the time I spent on this online course in relation to similar face-to-face courses was about the same.	4.15	1.16	59			